IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ELIPE DHARMA RAO

WRIT PETITION No. 13344 of 2005

Between:

P. Changalrayulu, S/o Gurappa @ Guravaiah,
R/o Yerramreddipalem Village, Settipalli Post,

Renigunta Mandal, Chittoor District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Tirupati, Chittoor District.
2 N. Bhakthavatsalam, S/o Late N. Srinivasulu Chetty,
R/o D.NO. 159, Bazar Street, Tirupati, Chittoor District.
3 N. Niranjan, S/o N. Janardhan Chetty,
R/o D.NO. 160, Bazar Street, Tirupati, Chittoor District.
4 N.Neerajakshudu, S/o N. Janardhan Chetty,
R/o D.NO. 160, Bazar Street, Tirupati, Chittoor District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus by declaring the proceedings in D.Dis No. 5096/1998 dated 27-8-2001 of the 1st respondent as illegal, arbitrary, violative of provisions of the A.P. (A.A) Inams (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1956 and Rules made thereunder and also violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and to set aside the same and to pass such other order or orders as it may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.AJAYAKUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

ORDER:

Seeking Writ of Mandamus, declaring the proceedings in D.Dis.No.5096/1998 dated 27.08.2001 issued by the 1st respondent, as illegal and arbitrary, the present writ petition is filed.

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue.

The learned Government Pleader for Revenue has raised an objection that the present writ petition is not maintainable under Section 14-A of the A.P.(A.A) Inams (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1956.

In view of the submissions made by the learned Government Pleader for Revenue, the petitioner has an efficacious remedy of Revision to the Commissioner, Land Revenue, against the impugned proceedings, under Section 14-A of the A.P. (A.A) Inams (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1956.

In the circumstances, the Writ Petition is dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to make revision against the impugned order before the concerned revision authority, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, and it is for the Revision Authority to consider and pass appropriate orders thereon, in accordance with law. No order as to costs.

ELIPE DHARMA RAO,J

Dated: 22.06.2005

Dsr

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To:

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,

Tirupati, Chittoor District

2. Two CCs to the Government Pleader

for Revenue, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT)

3. Two CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**AVLR**}*